

Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
Of the Lakeside Union School District
Wednesday, October 20, 2021, 4:30 pm
Tierra del Sol Middle School, Building B Rooms 5 & 6 (Right in front of the school)
9611 Petite Lane, Lakeside, CA

MEETING AGENDA

1. Welcome and Call to Order
2. Public Comment

ACTION ITEMS

3. Approval of Minutes from the May 26, 2021 meeting

DISCUSSION ITEMS

4. Project Update
 - Tierra del Sol – Gymnasium
 - Tierra del Sol – Multipurpose Room Modernization
 - Lakeside Farms - Modernization
 - Lindo Park – Multipurpose Room Improvements
 - Modernization and Energy Savings Project
5. Financial Report – Revenue Report & Budget Status Report
6. SDCTA -2021 School Bond Transparency Scorecard
7. Review Deferred Maintenance Plan & Funding
8. Committee Member Comments & Suggested Topics for Next Meeting
9. Site Tour – TdS gymnasium and MPR Projects
10. Adjournment

Next Meeting: Wednesday, January 26, 2022 – 4:30 pm

Members of the public who require disability modification or accommodation in order to participate in the meeting should contact Aimee McReynolds at (619) 390-2612 or in writing, at least twenty-four (24) hours before the meeting. (Government Code section 54954.2)

Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee
Of the Lakeside Union School District
Wednesday, May 26, 2021, 4:30 pm
Tierra del Sol Middle School, Multi-Purpose Room
9611 Petite Lane, Lakeside, CA

MEETING MINUTES

1. Welcome and Call to Order – Kathy Kassel called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m. CBOC members present: David Suter, Frank Hilliker, Jennifer Lee Clancy, John Heredia, Kathy Kassel, and Liz Higgins. Britni Cobb was absent. Staff members present: Erin Garcia, Todd Owens, and Aimee McReynolds.
2. Public Comment – There were no Public Comments.
3. Approval of Minutes from the March 31, 2021 meeting – Frank Hilliker motioned to approve the minutes from the March 31, 2021, meeting. David Suter seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.
4. Appoint Chair and Vice Chair – Frank Hilliker motioned for Kathy Kassel to be the Chairperson and Liz Higgins to be the Vice Chair again. John Heredia seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.
5. Approve Meeting Schedule for 2021/22 – Liz Higgins motioned to approve the 2021-22 meeting schedule. John Heredia seconded the motion. Motion carried 6-0.
6. Approval of 2019/20 Annual Report to the Community – Kathy Kassel will present the Citizens' Bond Oversight Annual Report to the Board of Trustees and the Community at the June 17, 2021, Board Meeting. John Heredia motioned for approval. David Suter seconded the motion. Motion carried with 6-0.
7. Project Update – Todd Owens gave the committee a brief update on the following projects:
 - Tierra del Sol – Gymnasium (committee toured site at the conclusion of this meeting)
 - Tierra del Sol – Multipurpose Room Modernization – Southwest Construction Services was awarded the contract for this project and construction starts in June.
 - Lakeside Farms - Modernization – Southwest Construction Services was awarded the contract for this project and construction starts in June.
 - Lindo Park – Multipurpose Room Improvements - Nexgen was awarded the contract for this project and construction will start as soon as school is out in June.
 - Energy Modernization & Utility savings project – Climatec has begun preliminary analysis for this project and site visits are planned for June 2021.

It was noted that the Lakeside Farms and Lindo Park projects were bid in May 2021 and had lots of interest from local contractors. We received very competitive bid results resulting in savings from the architect's cost estimate for both projects.

8. Financial Report – Budget Status Report – Erin Garcia explained that the yellow highlighted area on this report are the active projects, and they all have an estimated completion date of February 2022. Since we last met, the District Wide Parking Lot project has been closed, leaving

a savings of approximately \$365,000. The savings were transferred to the 760-Contingency line item for use on future projects.

9. Review Deferred Maintenance Plan & Funding – Erin Garcia reviewed the 15-year Deferred Maintenance project and funding plan. The District was initially working towards increasing annual contributions to deferred maintenance, with the ultimate goal of contributing \$500K per year. However, budget reductions were needed in the 2019/20 school year and contributions were scaled back to only \$100K per year. With the upcoming Energy Modernization/Utility savings project, the district is hoping to achieve annual utility savings that will allow the deferred maintenance contribution to be increased again, beginning with the 2022/23 fiscal year. Deferred maintenance projects will focus on roofing, painting, flooring, and asphalt repairs.

The committee had discussions related to the importance of making deferred maintenance a priority. The committee wants funding set aside for Deferred Maintenance so that the District doesn't rely on a futures bond (paid for by the taxpayers of Lakeside) to fund all facility improvements. Frank Hilliker wanted to know what the minimum amount the Board needs to put into Deferred Maintenance. Erin Garcia explained that there is no minimum required amount currently, and the need for maintenance across the district is substantial. Liz Higgins believes that the Lakeside taxpayers are not willing to pick up the cost of Deferred Maintenance (supporting future bond elections). Liz Higgins thinks that the Board needs to have a line item in their budget to make sure they are taking care of Deferred Maintenance. It was suggested it be either a percentage or a fixed dollar amount per year that the Board puts away specifically for Deferred Maintenance. Kathy Kassel and Liz Higgins will jointly work on drafting a letter to the LUSD Governing Board regarding the importance of prioritizing the funding of Deferred Maintenance in the District's budget.

10. Committee Member Comments & Suggested Topics for Next Meeting – the committee discussed a desire to have an update on deferred maintenance projects as a standing item on all future agendas.
11. Site Tour – TdS gymnasium project – Todd Owens led the Committee and staff on a tour of the TdS gymnasium construction, pointing out the location of the weight room and the gymnasium. He explained that a special backing was put on the frame to support mirrors should the District decide to add those in the future. He also let everyone know this gymnasium will not have windows because of potential glare on the court.
12. Adjournment – The meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Next Meeting: Wednesday, September 29, 2021 – 4:30 pm

**Lakeside Union School District
Project Updates**

2021 - 2022 Projects	Construction Start	Construction Completion
Tierra Del Sol / Gym	Feb-21	Feb/March 2022
Tierra Del Sol / MPR \$193,000 (Devp. Fees included)	Jun-21	Oct-21
Lakeside Farms Modernization	Jun-21	Feb/March 2022
Lindo Park / MPR	Jun-21	Feb/March 2022



Revenue Transactions

Actual and projected revenue transactions.

Date	Fiscal Year	Type	Amount	Revenue Category	Revenue SubCategory	Fund Category	Fund Code
04/16/2015	FY 14-15	Actual	2,900,000	Bond Revenue	Bond Issue	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2015	FY 14-15	Actual	325,729	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2015	FY 14-15	Actual	8,916	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2015	FY 14-15	Actual	10	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2016	FY 15-16	Actual	7,909	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2016	FY 15-16	Actual	1,518	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2017	FY 16-17	Actual	9,168	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2017	FY 16-17	Actual	25,302	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2017	FY 16-17	Actual	350,514	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2018	FY 17-18	Actual	9,925	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
11/15/2018	FY 18-19	Actual	15,271,075	Bond Revenue	Bond Issue	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
03/12/2019	FY 18-19	Actual	6,852	Bond Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2019	FY 18-19	Actual	11,003	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2019	FY 18-19	Actual	230,560	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2019	FY 18-19	Actual	(271,075)	Bond Revenue	Cost of Issuance	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
12/18/2019	FY 19-20	Actual	81,193	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
03/16/2020	FY 19-20	Actual	70,881	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/23/2020	FY 19-20	Actual	64,930	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2020	FY 19-20	Actual	51,736	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
09/01/2020	FY 20-21	Actual	90,000	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
11/17/2020	FY 20-21	Actual	38,757	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	13-00 - Cafeteria Special Revenue Fund
01/15/2021	FY 20-21	Actual	24,719	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
04/27/2021	FY 20-21	Actual	20,260	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
06/30/2021	FY 20-21	Actual	13,682	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
08/26/2021	FY 21-22	Actual	13,100,000	Bond Revenue	Bond Issue	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
10/30/2021	FY 21-22	Projected	1,000,000	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	25-19 - Developer Fees
10/30/2021	FY 21-22	Projected	193,000	Augmenting Revenue	Other	Local	25-19 - Developer Fees
06/30/2022	FY 21-22	Projected	50,000	Augmenting Revenue	Earned Interest	Local	21-39 - Bond Fund
Total			33,686,567				



Consolidated Budget Status Report

Budgets versus Commitments and Expenditures for multiple Projects

Budget vs. Commitments and Expenditures

Project Name	Total Budget	Total Commitments	% Budget Committed	Total Expenditures	% Budget Spent
700 - District-Wide (A) - Wireless Infrastructure	314,541	314,541	100.0%	314,541	100.0%
700 - District-Wide (G) - Security Camera Infrastructure Upgrade	196,290	196,290	100.0%	196,290	100.0%
700 - Measure L - Meas L Bond Admin - All Series	278,593	278,593	100.0%	278,593	100.0%
715 - LTECHNOLOGY - Technology upgrade/expansion	1,639,814	1,639,814	100.0%	1,639,814	100.0%
734- District-Wide - Monitor Replacements	917,482	917,482	100.0%	917,482	100.0%
736 - Measure L - Series B - Bond Management	800,000	756,604	94.6%	491,669	61.5%
737- EH & WG - Fire Alarm Replacement	301,316	301,314	100.0%	301,314	100.0%
738- MS-Shade Structure - EH/WG Shade Structure	694,773	694,773	100.0%	694,773	100.0%
739- LMS Roof - Old Hall Roof Replacement	268,037	268,038	100.0%	268,038	100.0%
740- LF/LMS Flooring - LF/LMS Flooring	467,819	467,819	100.0%	467,819	100.0%
742- Lindo Park - Lindo Park HVAC	156,694	156,694	100.0%	156,694	100.0%
744- Lemon Crest - LC - Walkway Maintenance	74,500	74,500	100.0%	74,500	100.0%
745 - District Wide Video Surveillance	352,130	352,130	100.0%	352,130	100.0%
746- Lakeside Farms - LF Modernization	3,720,000	2,884,057	77.5%	499,158	13.4%
747- Lakeside Farms - LF - Parking Lot	989,731	989,712	100.0%	989,711	100.0%
748- Lindo Park - LP Multipurpose Improvements	4,264,320	3,179,090	74.6%	383,561	9.0%
749- Tierra Del Sol - TDS Gym Modernization	7,025,000	6,957,720	99.0%	3,799,137	54.1%
750 - Central Kitchen Remodel Phase I	685,254	685,254	100.0%	685,254	100.0%
751 - Central Kitchen Remodel Phase II - CK Remodel Phase II	1,700,000	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
752- Lakeside Farms - LF-Vacant Lot	69,880	69,880	100.0%	69,880	100.0%
753 - District Wide Parking Lots	1,444,500	1,444,500	100.0%	1,444,500	100.0%
754 - Tierra Del Sol - TDS MPR Modernization (2)	2,135,063	2,135,063	100.0%	452,135	21.2%
755 - Modernization and Energy Savings Program	5,000,000	229	0.0%	229	0.0%
760 - Contingency/Unallocated	190,829	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Totals	33,686,567	24,764,097	73.5%	14,477,223	43.0%

Green = Completed
 Yellow = In Progress
 Orange = Future



School Bond Transparency in San Diego County

August 2021

OVERVIEW AND INTENT

Since its establishment in 1945, the San Diego County Taxpayers Association has been a nonpartisan association of individuals, businesses, and organizations who promote effective and efficient government on behalf of all San Diego County taxpayers. One of the ways SDCTA has worked to accomplish this longtime goal has been through conducting research and delivering opinions on issues relevant to taxpayers, including the transparency of public institutions that are funded by taxpayer dollars. Taxpayers should be able to easily determine whether school district officials have carried out their promises to effectively use funds to construct new buildings and upgrade facilities.

Schools should be as transparent as possible with their bond program information and how they are allocating funds, so the purpose of this report is to evaluate whether San Diego schools with active bond programs are meeting the standards of transparency. This report is the most recent update of the San Diego Taxpayers Educational Foundation's (SDTEF) 2007 study, which created SDCTA's "Oversight Committee Best Practices," last amended in May 2019, to appraise the transparency of schools' Independent Citizen Oversight Committees (ICOCs). **It should be noted that the scope of this study evaluates only the transparency and not the quality of bond programs, measuring the public existence of information needed for taxpayers to assess the overall performance of school bond programs.** We do not evaluate the accountability of schools' bond programs, and whether they are honestly listing their spending. We can only evaluate the extent to which they make their bond materials publicly available. The Transparency Report Card does not comment on the quality of material available or ease of access. Our recommendations at the end of this report, however, suggest how to improve these aspects of a district's bond program.

2508 Historic Decatur Road #220, San Diego, CA 92106
sdcta.org



In this year's report card, one major change that took place was the involvement of the taxpayer representative from each district's ICOC in the review of transparency. Doing so further empowered our representatives on these ICOCs and created opportunities for candid and civil conversation between our representatives and their respective district staff. By having these specific ICOC members, a group of dedicated individuals who are well-immersed and experienced in the topic of school bond transparency, contribute to the information collection and grading process, the district and our representative had opportunities to synchronize on expectations of transparency.

After reaching out to the taxpayer representative from each district that is being graded, twelve out of twenty nine had been able to assign a grade for their district according to SDCTA's scoring rubric (listed at the end of this document). The list of districts where this took place was: Cajon Valley Union School District, Chula Vista Elementary School District, Del Mar Union School District, Fallbrook Union High School District, Grossmont Union High School District, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District, Lakeside Union School District, MiraCosta Community College District, Oceanside Unified School District, San Diego Community College District, Santee School District, and San Ysidro School District.

The remaining fourteen districts had taxpayer representatives who were either unavailable or unresponsive. For these districts, SDCTA staff had conducted the grading directly: Borrego Springs Unified School District, Cardiff School District, Carlsbad Unified School District, Encinitas Union School District, Escondido Union School District, Mountain Empire Unified School District, Palomar Community College District, Solana Beach School District, San Diego Unified School District, San Dieguito Union High School District, San Marcos Unified School District, Sweetwater Union High School District, Southwestern Community College District, and Vista Unified School District.

Three of the districts had vacancies in their taxpayer representative position, so SDCTA staff directly reviewed these districts: Lemon Grove School District, National School District, and South Bay Union School District

As for the grades, there was a downward trend of scores compared to last year. Of the 29 districts evaluated, the average transparency grade was 76%, although this number has been skewed by the lowest three grades. Even after removing these three, the average for the remaining twenty six is 83%, which is down from 89% in 2020. Like last year, there were no perfect scores since once again none of the districts had performance audits that met the minimum standards for



SDCTA oversight committee best practices. Additionally, only two districts passed a resolution requiring a set of standards for performance audits that would give ICOCs more freedom to contribute and improve the bond program's transparency. None of the districts passed this resolution last year. This report will go into further detail about the requirements for these two items in the Recommendations section.

FINDINGS

[CLICK HERE TO VIEW COMPLETED SCORECARD](#)

Each district was graded on a 27 point rubric, which can be found at the end of this report card. There have been no changes to this rubric in the last year. Below are the grades of each district for the 2021 School Bond Transparency Report Card:

- F Borrego Springs Unified School District
- A- Cajon Valley Union School District
- B Cardiff School District
- B Carlsbad Union School District
- A- Chula Vista Elementary School District
- A- Del Mar Union School District
- D Encinitas Union School District
- F Escondido Union School District
- D+ Fallbrook Union High School District
- A Grossmont Union High School District
- A- Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District
- A- Lakeside Union School District
- B Lemon Grove School District
- B MiraCosta Community College District
- D National School District
- F Mountain Empire Unified School District
- F Oceanside Unified School District
- B Palomar Community College District
- B Solana Beach School District
- F South Bay Union School District



- B- San Diego Community College District
- B San Dieguito Union High School District
- A- San Diego Unified School District
- B- San Marcos Unified School District
- B Santee School District
- C Sweetwater Union High School District
- B- Southwestern Community College District
- A- San Ysidro School District
- D+ Vista Unified School District

In previous years, SDCTA has frequently communicated with school districts about their ICOC websites, pointing out missing information and reminding them to update their pages. This year, upon completion of the grading, SDCTA sent each district a copy of the rubric containing their grade to offer them the opportunity to provide any justification or possible correction to a markdown. Districts were given one week to respond before grades were finalized. The purpose of granting this opportunity was to ensure that the grades SDCTA puts out on this report card are truly accurate to each district's transparency. However, points were not awarded back to changes made by the district only after SDCTA contacted them. Doing so would have gone against the overall spirit of the report card, which is to assess a district's transparency over the course of the year, not just the week before it is released. The SDCTA values day-to-day business practices that reflect an authentic intent to be transparent with the taxpaying public, and so last minute changes by districts did not result in regrading.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation #1 for School Districts: Review SDCTA Standards on Performance Audits and pass a resolution requiring specific elements of oversight during the performance auditing process.

As was the case in the past four years, all of the districts' performance audits fail to truly evaluate the performance of a school district's facilities bond program. A performance audit's aim should not be to evaluate financial compliance with law. Instead, a



performance audit should aim to increase accountability in the actual construction process.

The two criteria below are ones that were first adopted into the rubric last year and something none of the districts had done. This year, as previously mentioned, none of the districts met (1), and only two districts satisfied (2).

(1) Performance Audits meet SDCTA Standards: Performance audits are in line with SDCTA's Oversight Committee Best Practices requirements.

The performance audit scope should align with the construction progress to measure the effectiveness of the bond program. In order to assist the oversight committee, SDCTA proposes the scope of work for the Performance Audit must include a review of at least the following:

- Current Bond Program Management Program/Plan
- Construction Project Delivery Methods and Performance Analysis
- Review of Project Budgets and Program Performance and Forecasting
- Evaluation of Overall Transparency of Bond Program

(2) Did the district pass a resolution on Performance Audits? Did the oversight committee pass a resolution requiring the following elements of the Performance and/or the Financial Audit:

- That the District conduct a Performance Audit that considers the appropriate scope and ways to improve Program effectiveness and efficiency;
- That a member of the oversight committee is involved in the auditor selection process and in the development of the audit's scope of work; and
- That the oversight committee review the Performance and Financial Audits before they are presented to the Board

Recommendation #2 for School Districts: Update all information on the oversight committee's website on a regular basis, including posting up-to-date agendas, minutes, financial and performance audits, and annual reports.

Access to up-to-date information remains vital to transparency. Districts should frequently update all website materials to reflect the most accurate information. Audits and financial reports should be available in a more timely fashion, as they should take no longer than a few months to



prepare. Similarly, project status updates should be regularly provided— not just as budget updates, but construction progress with expected timelines for completion. Motivation to keep this information updated should spawn from the desire to keep taxpayers informed rather than just wanting to receive a good grade on the report card.

Recommendation #3 for School Districts: Adjust oversight committees’ websites for easier navigation and useability.

Simply including all of the recommended information such as financial audits and meeting minutes does not guarantee transparency. Instead, an ideal ICOC website would organize these files by type and date in separate links, so that any interested party could easily find the information available. All files are important, but they are often not easy to differentiate between, especially when uploaded with a nondescript file name. Additionally, reducing the amount of clicks it takes to reach any given page or document on the ICOC website is strongly recommended.

RUBRIC/LIST OF CRITERIA

School Bond Transparency Rubric for the 2021 Report Card

On the District’s ICOC Website	
1. Committee Bylaws	A link to the committee bylaws is available on the ICOC website.
2. Member Information	A list of the names of all ICOC members is available on the ICOC website.
3. Meeting Agendas and Minutes	Links to the most up-to-date meeting minutes and agendas are available on the ICOC websites.
4. Additional Meeting Materials	Links to attachments, appendices, presentations, and other additional materials for every ICOC meeting are available on the ICOC website.
5. Annual Reports	A link to the Annual Reports is available on the ICOC website.
6. Performance Audits	A link to separate Performance Audits is available on the ICOC website, or under a separate heading within the Financial Audits.
7. Financial Audits	A link to the Financial Audit is available on the ICOC website.



8. Project List	All projects currently being funded by the bond are listed on the ICOC website.
9. Project Descriptions	A brief written description of each project can be found on the ICOC website.
10. Project Progress	The current status and plan of action for each project can be found on the ICOC website.
11. Bond Background	A brief description of the bond, for what it is intended, when it was passed, and its amount can be found on the ICOC website.
12. Contact Information	The school district phone number and an email address of the ICOC point of contact is listed on the ICOC website.
13. Vacancies listed	Any committee vacancies, expected vacancies, or lack of vacancies are listed on the ICOC website.
14. Number of Vacancies	The number of current ICOC committee vacancies is listed on the ICOC website. While this is not a scored criterion, SDCTA recommends having no vacancies when possible.
15. 2020 Annual Report Available	The 2020 Annual Report is available on the ICOC website.
16. 2020 Audit Available	The 2020 Audit is available on the ICOC website.

On the 2020 Annual Report and/or Audits	
17. Budget Overview	A breakdown of how the budget was allocated for the past year is found in the annual report or audit.
18. Program Status Updates	An explanation of the current status of the bond program is found in the annual report or audit.
19. Detailed Discussion of Projects	A detailed discussion about what was accomplished in the past year and future plans for bond projects are found in the annual report
20. Basic Financial Information	Basic financial information can be found in the audit in accordance with general auditing standards.
21. Expenditures Itemized by Project/Site	All expenditures are itemized by project within the Financial Audit.

22. Prop 39 Required Audit Findings	Audits fulfill the requirements set forth by Proposition 39.
23. Additional Recommendations	There is a separate heading within the Audit called “Additional Recommendations,” or a clear list of recommendations by the Auditor is easily found within the Audit.
24. Detailed ICOC Member Information	Section includes member names and positions, as well as contact information for at least one member.
25. Project Progress Report	The current status of all projects, further work that needs to be completed, and a general timeline for expected completion can be found in the annual report or audit.
26. Audits Performed by Third Party	Audits are performed by an independent auditor in accordance with the law.
27. Performance Audits meet SDCTA Standards	Performance audits are in line with SDCTA’s recommendations found on the <u>Oversight Committee Best Practices</u> document (starts on page 4, text highlighted in yellow).
28. Did the District pass a resolution on Performance Audits?	<p>Did the District pass a resolution requiring the following elements of the Performance and/or the Financial Audit:</p> <p>(A) That the District conduct a Performance Audit that considers the appropriate scope and ways to improve Program effectiveness and efficiency;</p> <p>(B) That a member of the oversight committee is involved in the auditor selection process and in the development of the audit’s scope of work; and</p> <p>(C) That the oversight committee reviews the Performance and Financial Audits before they are presented to the Board?</p>

Final score is out of 27 (#14 is unscored)

DEFERRED MAINTENANCE - 15 YEAR PLAN

October 2021 CBOC

School Year	Roofing		Painting		Flooring		Asphalt Amount	Total Expense	Beg Balance	
	Amount	Site	Amount	Site	Amount	Site			Annual Deposit	Cumulative Balance
2017-18							\$ 255,000	\$ 255,000	\$ 255,000	\$ -
2018-19	\$ 78,617	Cntrl Kitch	\$ 5,000	LMS	\$ 9,072	LMS		\$ 92,689	\$ 250,000	\$ 157,311
2019-20	\$ 24,740	LV/RV				Bond-LF		\$ 24,740	\$ 167,429	\$ 300,000
2020-21				DO	\$ 12,666	LC		\$ 12,666	\$ 100,000	\$ 387,334
2021-22	\$ 30,000	portables (6)					\$ 234,000	\$ 264,000	\$ 400,000	\$ 523,334
2022-23	\$ 30,000	portables (6)			\$ 500,000	LP		\$ 530,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 243,334
2023-24	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	LMS				\$ 180,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 563,334
2024-25	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	TDS	\$ 500,000	LC		\$ 680,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 383,334
2025-26	\$ 30,000	portables (6)								
	\$ 75,000	TBD	\$ 150,000	WG/EH			\$ 325,000	\$ 580,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 303,334
2026-27	\$ 30,000	portables (6)						\$ 30,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 773,334
2027-28	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	LP	\$ 500,000	RV		\$ 680,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 593,334
2028-29	\$ 30,000	portables (6)								
	\$ 200,000	TBD					\$ 375,000	\$ 605,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 488,334
2029-30	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	LF	\$ 500,000	LV		\$ 680,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 308,334
2030-31	\$ 30,000	portables (6)						\$ 30,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 778,334
2031-32	\$ 30,000	portables (6)			\$ 500,000	TDS		\$ 530,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 748,334
2032-33	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	RV						
	\$ 200,000	TBD					\$ 400,000	\$ 780,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 468,334
2033-34	\$ 30,000	portables (6)	\$ 150,000	LC	\$ 475,000	WG/EH		\$ 655,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 313,334
2034-35	\$ 30,000	portables (6)						\$ 30,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 783,334
Grand Totals	\$ 998,357		\$ 1,055,000		\$ 2,996,738		\$ 1,589,000	\$ 6,639,095	\$ 7,422,429	

*Assumes savings from Energy Modernization/Utility Savings Project: \$150K-22/23 & additional \$250K-23/24